



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/936,094	03/14/2002	Gerhart Graupner	524062000201	9290

7590

01/12/2006

Dr. Gerhart Graupner  
President and CEO  
Endiatrix BioPharma, Inc.  
10465 El Comal Drive  
San Diego, CA 92124



EXAMINER
----------

CANELLA, KAREN A

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1643

DATE MAILED: 01/12/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Notice of Abandonment**

Application No.

09/936,094

Examiner

Karen A. Canella

Applicant(s)

GRAUPNER, GERHART

Art Unit

1643

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

This application is abandoned in view of:

1. ☐ Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on \_\_\_\_\_.
  - (a) ☐ A reply was received on \_\_\_\_\_ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated \_\_\_\_\_), which is after the expiration of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of \_\_\_\_\_ month(s)) which expired on \_\_\_\_\_.
  - (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on \_\_\_\_\_, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection.  
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114).
  - (c) ☐ A reply was received on \_\_\_\_\_ but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).
  - (d) ☐ No reply has been received.
2. ☐ Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).
  - (a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on \_\_\_\_\_ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated \_\_\_\_\_), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).
  - (b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$\_\_\_\_\_ is insufficient. A balance of \$\_\_\_\_\_ is due.  
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$\_\_\_\_\_. The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$\_\_\_\_\_.
  - (c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.
3. ☐ Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37).
  - (a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on \_\_\_\_\_ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated \_\_\_\_\_), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.
  - (b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.
4. ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.
5. ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.
6. ☐ The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on \_\_\_\_\_ and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.
7. ☒ The reason(s) below:

See Continuation Sheet

  
KARENA CANELLA PH.D  
PRINCIPAL EXAMINER

Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.

Item 7 - Other reasons for holding abandonment: An Office action, mailed March 14, 2005 was returned to the PTO as not having the correct address. The examiner attempted to telephone applicant to obtain a valid mailing address but was unsuccessful. The attorney of record in child case 10/238,755 was contacted and verified that the address and telephone number in the instant case was identical to the address and telephone number of record in the child case. Said attorney provided an email address for applicant. An e-mail sent to Graupner@sunstroke.sdsu.edu on December 22, 2005 did not result in a reply from applicant. .

Organization IC 1600 Bldg./Room R  
**UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**  
 P.O. Box 4488

Alexandria, VA. 22313-1450

**Official Business  
Penalty For Private Use, \$300**

UNITED STATES POSTAGE **U.S. OFFICIAL MAIL**  
PENALTY FOR PRIVATE USE \$300  
PRIME BOWES  
02 1A \$ 00.39<sup>0</sup>  
0004204479 JAN 12 2006  
MAILED FROM ZIP CODE 22314

JAN 23 2006

921

21 01/19/06

USPTO MAIL CENTER  
RETURN TO SENDER  
NOT DELIVERABLE AS ADDRESSED  
UNABLE TO FORWARD

EC: 22313145050

\*1377-03603-19-20

[illegible]